LIMATE CHANGE PROJECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL TREE SPECIES



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. A team of managers and researchers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in northern Wisconsin and western Upper Michigan (Janowiak et al. 2014). This report includes information on observed and future climate

trends, and also summarizes key vulnerabilities for forested natural communities. The Landscape Change Research Group recently updated the Climate Change Tree Atlas, and this handout summarizes that information. Full Tree Atlas results are available online at <u>www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/</u>. Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The updated Tree Atlas presents additional information helpful to interpret tree species changes:

- Suitable habitat calculated based on 39 variables that explain where optimum conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- Adaptability based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- Capability a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (FIA data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species.
- Migration Potential Model when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management (see the table section: "New Habitat with Migration Potential").

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

SOURCE: This handout summarizes the full model results for northern Wisconsin and western Upper Michigan, available at <u>www.fs.fed.us/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries</u>. More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the Northwoods region can be found at <u>www.</u> forestadaptation.org/northwoods. A full description of the models and variables are provided in Iverson et al. 2019 (<u>www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/57857</u> and <u>www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/59105</u>) and Peters et al. 2019 (<u>www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/58353</u>).

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY

POOR CAPABILITY						
American hornbeam	Ohio buckeye					
American mountain-ash	Pin cherry					
Balsam fir	Red pine					
Balsam poplar	River birch					
Black ash	Serviceberry					
Black maple	Striped maple					
Black spruce	Tamarack (native)					
Black willow	White sprice					
Eastern hemlock	Yellow birch					
Mountain maple						
FAIR CAPABILITY						
Eastern white pine	Paper birch					
Jack pine	Quaking aspen					
Northern white-cedar						
GOOD CAPABILITY						
American elm	Hackberry					
Bitternut hickory	Ironwood					
Black cherry	Northern pin oak					
Black oak	Northern red oak					
Black walnut	Red maple					
Boxelder	Shagbark hickory					
Bur oak	Silver maple					
Easter redcedar	Sugar maple					
Green ash	White oak					
MIXED RESULTS						
American basswood	Pin oak					
American beech	Slippery elm					
Bigtooth aspen	Swamp white oak					
Eastern cottonwood	White ash					
Honeylocust						
NEW HABITAT WITH MIG	RATION POTENTIAL					
Blackgum	Red mulberry					
Chinkapin oak	Sassafras					
•	Shingle oak					
Eastern redbud						
Eastern redbud Mockernut hickory Osage-orange	Sycamore Yellow-poplar					



www.forestadaptation.org

ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + HIGH Species may perform better than modeled
- MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- DECREASE Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- COMMON
- RARE

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance within this region.

- △ GOOD Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

			LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)					LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABIL- ITY		CAPABILITY	SPECIES	ADAPT		HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABIL- ITY		CAPABILITY
American basswood	•	•		Δ	•	0	Mountain maple*	+	_	▼	∇	▼	∇
American beech	•	_		0		Δ	Northern pin oak	+	•		Δ		Δ
American elm	•	•		Δ		Δ	Northern red oak	+	•		Δ		Δ
American hornbeam*	•	_	▼	∇	▼	∇	Northern white-cedar	•	•	•	0	•	0
Balsam fir	_	+	▼	∇	▼	∇	Ohio buckeye*	•	_	•	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	▼	∇
Balsam poplar	•	_	▼	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	▼	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Osage-orange	+		*		*	
Bigtooth aspen	•	•		Δ	•	0	Paper birch	•	•	•	0	•	0
Bitternut hickory*	+	_		Δ		Δ	Pignut hickory	•		*		*	
Black ash	_	•	•	∇	•	∇	Pin cherry*	•	_	•	∇	▼	∇
Black cherry	_	•		Δ		Δ	Pin oak*	_	_		$\mathbf{\nabla}$		0
Black hickory	•		*		*		Post oak	+		*		*	
Black maple*	+	_	▼	∇	▼	∇	Quaking aspen	•	+	•	0	•	0
Black oak	•	_		Δ		Δ	Red maple	+	+	•	Δ	•	Δ
Black spruce	•	•	▼	∇	•	∇	Red pine	_	•	•	∇	•	∇
Black walnut*	•	_		Δ		Δ	River birch*	•	_	•	∇	▼	∇
Black willow*	_	_	▼	∇	•	∇	Sassafras*	•		*		*	
Blackgum	+		*		*		Scarlet oak	•		*		*	
Blackjack oak	+		*		*		Serviceberry*	•	_	▼	∇	▼	∇
Boxelder*	+	•	•	Δ	•	Δ	Shagbark hickory	•	_		Δ		Δ
Bur oak	+	•		Δ		Δ	Shingle oak	•		*		*	
Cedar elm	_		*		*		Silver maple*	+	•	•	Δ	•	Δ
Chestnut oak	+		*		*		Slippery elm*	•	_	•	∇		0
Chinkapin oak	•		*		*		Striped maple	•	_	▼	∇	▼	∇
Eastern cottonwood*	•	_	•	∇		0	Sugar maple	+	+	•	Δ	•	Δ
Eastern hemlock	_	•	•	∇	▼	∇	Swamp white oak*	•	_		0		Δ
Eastern redbud*	•		*		*		Sweetgum	•		*		*	
Eastern redcedar	•	_		Δ		Δ	Sycamore*	•		*		*	
Eastern white pine	_	•		0		0	Tamarack (native)	_	•	•	∇	•	
Green ash*	•	•		Δ		Δ	Virginia pine	•		*		*	∇
Hackberry	+	_		Δ		Δ	White ash	_	•		0		
Honeylocust*	+	_	•	0		Δ	White oak	+	•		Δ		Δ
lronwood*	+	•		Δ		Δ	Sweet birch	_		*		*	Δ
Jack pine	+	•		0	▼	0	White spruce	•	•	•	∇	▼	∇
Live oak	•		*		*		Yellow birch	•	•	▼	∇	▼	∇
Mockernut hickory	+		*		*		Yellow-poplar	+		*		*	

*Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class. See maps and tables for more information (<u>www.fs.fed.us/nrs/</u> atlas/combined/resources/summaries).